Common Information

Abbas El Gamal

Stanford University

Viterbi Lecture, USC, April 2014

Andrew Viterbi's Fabulous Formula, IEEE Spectrum, 2010



Andrew Viterbi's Fabulous Formula, IEEE Spectrum, 2010



"A couple of professors at Stanford were being quoted in the press saying that CDMA violated the laws of physics."

• Common information between correlated information sources

- Common information between correlated information sources
- The lecture is tutorial in nature with many examples

- Common information between correlated information sources
- The lecture is tutorial in nature with many examples
- No proofs, but a potentially interesting framework and new result

- Common information between correlated information sources
- The lecture is tutorial in nature with many examples
- No proofs, but a potentially interesting framework and new result
- Outline:
 - Brief introduction to information theory
 - Information sources and measuring information

- Common information between correlated information sources
- The lecture is tutorial in nature with many examples
- No proofs, but a potentially interesting framework and new result
- Outline:
 - Brief introduction to information theory
 - Information sources and measuring information
 - Brief introduction to network information theory
 - Correlated information sources and measuring common information

- Common information between correlated information sources
- The lecture is tutorial in nature with many examples
- No proofs, but a potentially interesting framework and new result
- Outline:
 - Brief introduction to information theory
 - Information sources and measuring information
 - Brief introduction to network information theory
 - Correlated information sources and measuring common information
- Some of the basic models, ideas, and results of information theory



The fundamental problem of communication is that of reproducing at one point either exactly or approximately a message selected at another point. Frequently the messages have meaning These semantic aspects of communication are irrelevant to the engineering problem.

- A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Shannon (1948)



Fig. 1 - Schematic diagram of a general communication system.

• Mathematical models for the source and the channel



Fig. 1 - Schematic diagram of a general communication system.

- Mathematical models for the source and the channel
- Measures of information (entropy and mutual information)
- Limits on compression and communication



Fig. 1 - Schematic diagram of a general communication system.

- Mathematical models for the source and the channel
- Measures of information (entropy and mutual information)
- Limits on compression and communication
- Bits as a universal interface between the source and the channel



Fig. 1 - Schematic diagram of a general communication system.

- Coding schemes that achieve the Shannon limits
 - Viterbi decoding algorithm (Viterbi 1967)
 - Lempel–Ziv compression algorithm (Ziv–Lempel 1977, 1978)
 - Trellis coded modulation (Ungerboeck 1982)
 - Turbo codes (Berrou–Glavieux 1996)
 - LDPC codes (Gallager 1963, Richardson–Urbanke 2008)
 - Polar codes (Arıkan 2009)

Information source



An information source which produces a message or sequence of messages to be communicated to the receiving terminal. The message may be of various types: e.g. (a) A sequence of letters as in a telegraph of teletype system; (b) A single function of time f(t) as in radio or telephony; ...

- A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Shannon (1948)

Modeling the information source



We can think of a discrete source as generating the message, symbol by symbol....A physical system, or a mathematical model of a system which produces such a sequence of symbols governed by a set of probabilities, is known as a stochastic process.



Can we define a quantity which will measure, in some sense, how much information is "produced" by such a process, or better, at what rate information is produced?



Suppose we have a set of possible events whose probabilities of occurrence are $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, \ldots$ If there is such a measure, say $H(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$, it is reasonable to require of it the following properties:

1. H should be continuous in the p_i .

2. If all the p_i are equal, H should be monotonic increasing function of n. 3. If a choice be broken down into two successive choices, the original H should be the weighted sum of the individual values of H.



Theorem 2: The only H satisfying the three above assumptions is of the form:

$$H = -K \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log p_i$$



Theorem 2: The only H satisfying the three above assumptions is of the form:

$$H = -K \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log p_i$$

This theorem, and the assumptions required for its proof, are in no way necessary for the present theory. ... The real justification of these definitions, however, will reside in their implications.

- A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Shannon (1948)

• Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information in many settings

- Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information in many settings
- Will describe three of them
 - Zero-error compression
 - Randomness extraction
 - Source simulation

- Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information in many settings
- Will describe three of them
 - Zero-error compression
 - Randomness extraction
 - Source simulation
- Assume a discrete memoryless source $(\mathcal{X}, p(x))$ (DMS X)

X generates independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) $X_1, X_2, \ldots \sim p(x)$

- Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information in many settings
- Will describe three of them
 - Zero-error compression
 - Randomness extraction
 - Source simulation
- Assume a discrete memoryless source $(\mathcal{X}, p(x))$ (DMS X)

X generates independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) $X_1, X_2, \ldots \sim p(x)$

• Example: Bernoulli source with parameter $p \in [0, 1]$ (Bern(p) source)

 $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}, p_X(1) = p$; generates i.i.d $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim \text{Bern}(p)$

- Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information in many settings
- Will describe three of them
 - Zero-error compression
 - Randomness extraction
 - Source simulation
- Assume a discrete memoryless source $(\mathcal{X}, p(x))$ (DMS X)

X generates independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) $X_1, X_2, \ldots \sim p(x)$

• Example: Bernoulli source with parameter $p \in [0, 1]$ (Bern(p) source)

 $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}, p_X(1) = p$; generates i.i.d $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim \text{Bern}(p)$

• Example: "DNA source," $\mathcal{X} = \{A, G, C, T\}; p(x) = [p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3 \ p_4]$

DMS X
$$X^n$$
 Encoder $c(X^n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ Decoder X^n

- $X^n = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ i.i.d. ~ p(x)
- Variable-length prefix-free code: $c(x^n) \in \{0,1\}^* = \{0,1,00,01,10,11,\ldots\}$

DMS X
$$X^n$$
 Encoder $c(X^n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ Decoder X^n

- $X^n = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ i.i.d. $\sim p(x)$
- Variable-length prefix-free code: $c(x^n) \in \{0,1\}^* = \{0,1,00,01,10,11,\ldots\}$
- Example: $\mathcal{X} = \{A,G,C,T\}, p(x) = [5/8 \ 1/4 \ 1/16 \ 1/16], n = 1$



DMS X
$$X^n$$
 Encoder $c(X^n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ Decoder X^n

- $X^n = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ i.i.d. ~ p(x)
- Variable-length prefix-free code: $c(x^n) \in \{0,1\}^* = \{0,1,00,01,10,11,\ldots\}$
- Example: $\mathcal{X} = \{A, G, C, T\}, p(x) = [5/8 \ 1/4 \ 1/16 \ 1/16], n = 1$



• Let L_n be the codeword length and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol

$$E(L_1) = 1 \cdot \frac{5}{8} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{8} = 1.5$$
 bits

DMS X
$$X^n$$
 Encoder $c(X^n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ Decoder X^n

- $X^n = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ i.i.d. ~ p(x)
- Variable-length prefix-free code: $c(x^n) \in \{0,1\}^* = \{0,1,00,01,10,11,\ldots\}$
- Let L_n be the codeword length and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- Measure the information rate of X by: $R^* = \inf_n \min_{\text{codes}} R_n$

DMS X
$$X^n$$
 Encoder $c(X^n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ Decoder X^n

- $X^n = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ i.i.d. ~ p(x)
- Variable-length prefix-free code: $c(x^n) \in \{0,1\}^* = \{0,1,00,01,10,11,\ldots\}$
- Let L_n be the codeword length and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- Measure the information rate of X by: $R^* = \inf_n \min_{\text{codes}} R_n$

Information rate is the entropy (Shannon 1948)

$$R^* = H(X) = \sum_{x} -p(x) \log p(x)$$
 bits/symbol

Entropy examples

• $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$: $H(X) = H(p) = -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p)$



Entropy examples

• $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$: $H(X) = H(p) = -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p)$



• For DNA source: H(X) = 1.424 bits $(E(L_1) = 1.5)$



- X is a DMS; B^n is an i.i.d. ~ Bern(1/2) sequence
- Let L_n be length of X sequence and $R_n = n/E(L_n)$ bits/symbol



- X is a DMS; B^n is an i.i.d. ~ Bern(1/2) sequence
- Let L_n be length of X sequence and $R_n = n/E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- Example: Let X be Bern(1/3) source, n = 1 ($B_1 \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$)





- X is a DMS; B^n is an i.i.d. ~ Bern(1/2) sequence
- Let L_n be length of X sequence and $R_n = n/E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- Example: Let X be Bern(1/3) source, n = 1 ($B_1 \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$)





- X is a DMS; B^n is an i.i.d. ~ Bern(1/2) sequence
- Let L_n be length of X sequence and $R_n = n/E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \sup_n \max_{\text{extractor}} R_n$
Randomness extraction



- X is a DMS; B^n is an i.i.d. ~ Bern(1/2) sequence
- Let L_n be length of X sequence and $R_n = n/E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \sup_n \max_{\text{extractor}} R_n$

Information rate is the entropy (Elias 1972)

 $R^* = H(X)$ bits/symbol



- *B* is a Bern(1/2) source; X^n i.i.d. ~ p(x) sequence
- Let L_n be length of the B sequence and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol



- *B* is a Bern(1/2) source; X^n i.i.d. ~ p(x) sequence
- Let L_n be length of the B sequence and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- Example: Let X be Bern(1/3) source, n = 1





- *B* is a Bern(1/2) source; X^n i.i.d. ~ p(x) sequence
- Let L_n be length of the B sequence and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \inf_n \min_{\text{generator}} R_n$

$$\dots, B_2, B_1$$
 Generator X^n

• *B* is a Bern(1/2) source; X^n i.i.d. ~ p(x) sequence

- Let L_n be length of the *B* sequence and $R_n = (1/n) E(L_n)$ bits/symbol
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \inf_n \min_{\text{generator}} R_n$

Information rate is the entropy (Knuth–Yao 1976)

 $R^* = H(X)$ bits/symbol

Summary

- Entropy arises naturally as a measure of information rate:
 - ▶ The minimum average description length in bits/symbol of *X*
 - ▶ The maximum number of bits/symbol that can be extracted from *X*
 - ▶ The minimum number of bits/symbol needed to simulate X

• Sensor network



• Wireless multipath



• Distributed secret key generation



• Distributed simulation



How to measure common information between correlated sources

Network information theory



• Establishes limits on communication/distributed processing in networks

Network information theory



- Establishes limits on communication/distributed processing in networks
- First paper (Shannon 1961): "Two-way communication channels"
- Significant progress in 1970s (Cover 1972, Slepian–Wolf 1973)
- Internet and wireless communication revived interest (EG-Kim 2011)

- Setups for measuring common information:
 - Distributed compression
 - Distributed key generation
 - Distributed simulation

- Setups for measuring common information:
 - Distributed compression
 - Distributed key generation
 - Distributed simulation
- Assume a 2-discrete memoryless source (X × Y, p(x, y)) (2-DMS (X, Y))

(X, Y) generates i.i.d. sequence $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \ldots \sim p(x, y)$

- Setups for measuring common information:
 - Distributed compression
 - Distributed key generation
 - Distributed simulation
- Assume a 2-discrete memoryless source (X × Y, p(x, y)) (2-DMS (X, Y))
 (X, Y) generates i.i.d. sequence (X₁, Y₁), (X₂, Y₂), ... ~ p(x, y)
- Examples:



- Setups for measuring common information:
 - Distributed compression
 - Distributed key generation
 - Distributed simulation
- Assume a 2-discrete memoryless source (X × Y, p(x, y)) (2-DMS (X, Y))
 (X, Y) generates i.i.d. sequence (X₁, Y₁), (X₂, Y₂), ... ~ p(x, y)
- Examples:



Distributed zero-error compression



- Let (X, Y) be a 2-DMS; prefix-free codes $c_1(x^n)$, $c_2(y^n)$
- Let L_{jn} be length of c_j and $R_{jn} = (1/n) E(L_{jn})$, j = 1, 2



• Let (X, Y) be a 2-DMS; prefix-free codes $c_1(x^n)$, $c_2(y^n)$

- Let L_{jn} be length of c_j and $R_{jn} = (1/n) E(L_{jn})$, j = 1, 2
- Measure the common information rate between X and Y by

 $R_{\rm C}^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf_n \min_{\text{codes}} (R_{1n} + R_{2n}) \text{ bits/symbol-pair}$



• Let (X, Y) be a 2-DMS; prefix-free codes $c_1(x^n)$, $c_2(y^n)$

- Let L_{jn} be length of c_j and $R_{jn} = (1/n) E(L_{jn}), j = 1, 2$
- Measure the common information rate between X and Y by

 $R_{\rm C}^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf_n \min_{\text{codes}} (R_{1n} + R_{2n}) \text{ bits/symbol-pair}$

• Example: X = (U, W), Y = (V, W), where U, V, W are independent:

 $R_{\rm C}^* = (H(U) + H(W)) + (H(V) + H(W)) - (H(U) + H(V) + H(W))$



• Measure the common information rate between X and Y by

 $R_{\rm C}^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf_{n} \min_{\text{codes}} (R_{1n} + R_{2n}) \text{ bits/symbol-pair}$

• Example: SBES (H(X) = 1, H(Y) = (1 - p) + H(p))





- Example: SBES (H(X) = 1, H(Y) = (1 p) + H(p))
 - Can show that $R_{\rm C}^* = 1 p$:
 - Encoder 1 sends X^n : $R_{1n} = 1$ bit/symbol
 - Encoder 2 sends erasure location sequence: $\inf_n R_{2n} = H(p)$ bit/symbol
 - $\Rightarrow R_{\rm C}^* \le H(X) + H(Y) (R_{1n} + \inf_n R_{2n}) = 1 p$

Distributed zero-error compression



- Example: For SBES, $R_{\rm C}^* = 1 p$
- Example: For DSBS, $R_{\rm C}^* = 0$ for 0





- Example: For SBES, $R_{\rm C}^* = 1 p$
- Example: For DSBS, $R_{\rm C}^* = 0$ for 0
- No computable expression for $R_{\rm C}^*$ is known (Körner–Orlitsky 1998)



- (X, Y) is a 2-DMS
- Define key rate as $R_n = (1/n)H(K_n)$ bits/symbol-pair



- (X, Y) is a 2-DMS
- Define key rate as $R_n = (1/n)H(K_n)$ bits/symbol-pair
- The common information rate: $R^* = \sup_n \max_{\text{extractors}} R_n$



• (X, Y) is a 2-DMS

- Define key rate as $R_n = (1/n)H(K_n)$ bits/symbol-pair
- The common information rate: $R^* = \sup_n \max_{\text{extractors}} R_n$
- Example: X = (U, W), Y = (V, W), where U, V, W are independent
 Then again R* = H(W)



• Example: Consider (X, Y) with pmf:

| | v | . W | = 1 | W = 2 | |
|-------|--------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| | γ^{Λ} | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |



• Example: Consider (X, Y) with pmf:

| | v | W = 1 | | W = 2 | |
|-------|--------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | γ^{Λ} | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

• Alice and Bob can agree on i.i.d. key $W^n \Rightarrow R_n = H(W)$



• Example: Consider (X, Y) with pmf:

| | v | W = 1 | | W = 2 | |
|-------|--------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | γ^{Λ} | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| W = 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

- Alice and Bob can agree on i.i.d. key $W^n \Rightarrow R_n = H(W)$
- Turns out: $R^* = H(W) = 0.881$ bits/symbol-pair

Gács-Körner (1973), Witsenhausen (1975) common information

• Arrange p(x, y) in block diagonal form with largest # of blocks:



W is called the common part between X and Y
 It is the highest entropy r.v. that X and Y can agree on
 K(X; Y) = H(W) is called GKW common information

Gács-Körner (1973), Witsenhausen (1975) common information

• Arrange p(x, y) in block diagonal form with largest # of blocks:



- W is called the common part between X and Y
- The common part between X^n and Y^n is W^n

Gács-Körner (1973), Witsenhausen (1975) common information

• Arrange p(x, y) in block diagonal form with largest # of blocks:



Common information rate is the GKW common information

 $R^* = K(X;Y) = H(W)$

Distributed simulation



- W_n is a common information random variable
- Wish to generate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y)

Distributed simulation



- W_n is a common information random variable
- Wish to generate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y)
- Define the distributed simulation rate $R_n = (1/n)H(W_n)$
- The common information rate: $R_{\rm S}^* = \inf_n \min_{W_n, \text{ generators }} R_n$

Distributed simulation



- W_n is a common information random variable
- Wish to generate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y)
- Define the distributed simulation rate $R_n = (1/n)H(W_n)$
- The common information rate: $R_{\rm S}^* = \inf_n \min_{W_n, \text{ generators }} R_n$
- Example: X = (U, W), Y = (V, W), where U, V, W are independent

Then again $R_{\rm S}^* = H(W)$ (set $W_n = W^n$)
Distributed simulation



- W_n is a common information random variable
- Wish to generate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y)
- Define the distributed simulation rate $R_n = (1/n)H(W_n)$
- The common information rate: $R_{\rm S}^* = \inf_n \min_{W_n, \text{ generators }} R_n$
- No computable expression for $R_{\rm S}^*$ is known

Distributed simulation

• Example: SBES (Kumar–Li–EG, ISIT 2014)



Distributed simulation

• Example: SBES (Kumar–Li–EG, ISIT 2014)

$$R_{\rm S}^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \le 1/2 \\ H(p) & \text{if } p > 1/2 \end{cases}$$



• Entropy is a "universal" measure of information

- Entropy is a "universal" measure of information
- For X = (U, W), Y = (V, W), where U, V, W are independent
 - Common information is measured by H(W)

- Entropy is a "universal" measure of information
- In general, common information has several measures:
 - For zero-error distributed compression: $R_{\rm C}^*$ (no computable expression)
 - For distributed key generation: K(X; Y) (GKW common information)
 - For distributed simulation: $R_{\rm S}^*$ (no computable expression)

- Entropy is a "universal" measure of information
- In general, common information has several measures:
 - For zero-error distributed compression: $R_{\rm C}^*$ (no computable expression)
 - For distributed key generation: K(X; Y) (GKW common information)
 - For distributed simulation: $R_{\rm S}^*$ (no computable expression)
- We can say more by considering relaxed versions of these setups
 - Distributed lossless compression
 - Distributed approximate simulation
- Common information for approximate key generation same as for exact

Lossless compression

$$X^n$$
 Encoder $M_n \in [1:2^{nR}]$ Decoder \hat{X}^n

- Let X be a DMS
- Use fixed-length (block) codes $(M_n \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR}\}$ is an *nR*-bit sequence)
- Probability of error: $P_e^{(n)} = \mathsf{P}\{\hat{X}^n \neq X^n\}$

Lossless compression

$$X^n$$
 Encoder $M_n \in [1:2^{nR}]$ Decoder \hat{X}^n

- Let X be a DMS
- Use fixed-length (block) codes $(M_n \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR}\}$ is an *nR*-bit sequence)
- Probability of error: $P_e^{(n)} = P\{\hat{X}^n \neq X^n\}$
- R is achievable if \exists a sequence of codes such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_e^{(n)} = 0$
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \inf\{R : R \text{ is achievable}\}$

$$X^n$$
 Encoder $M_n \in [1:2^{nR}]$ Decoder \hat{X}^n

- Let X be a DMS
- Use fixed-length (block) codes $(M_n \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR}\}$ is an *nR*-bit sequence)
- Probability of error: $P_e^{(n)} = \mathsf{P}\{\hat{X}^n \neq X^n\}$
- R is achievable if \exists a sequence of codes such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_e^{(n)} = 0$
- The information rate of X: $R^* = \inf\{R : R \text{ is achievable}\}$

Information rate is the entropy (Shannon 1948)

$$R^* = H(X)$$

Same as in zero-error!



- Again assume fixed length codes
- Probability of error: $P_e^{(n)} = P\{(\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n) \neq (X^n, Y^n)\}$
- (R_1, R_2) achievable if \exists a sequence of codes such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_e^{(n)} = 0$



- Again assume fixed length codes
- Probability of error: $P_e^{(n)} = P\{(\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n) \neq (X^n, Y^n)\}$
- (R_1, R_2) achievable if \exists a sequence of codes such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_e^{(n)} = 0$
- The common information rate

 $R^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf\{R_1 + R_2 : (R_1, R_2) \text{ is achievable}\}$

• The common information rate

 $R^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf\{R_1 + R_2 : (R_1, R_2) \text{ is achievable}\}$

Common information rate is mutual information (Slepian–Wolf 1973)

 $R^* = I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$

• The same compression rate as if X and Y are compressed together!

• The common information rate

 $R^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf\{R_1 + R_2 : (R_1, R_2) \text{ is achievable}\}$

Common information rate is mutual information (Slepian–Wolf 1973)

$$R^* = I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$$

• Example: (X, Y) is SBES, $I(X; Y) = 1 - p = R_C^*$ (zero-error)



• The common information rate

 $R^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf\{R_1 + R_2 : (R_1, R_2) \text{ is achievable}\}$

Common information rate is mutual information (Slepian–Wolf 1973)

 $R^* = I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$

- Example: (X, Y) is SBES, $I(X; Y) = 1 p = R_C^*$ (zero-error)
- Example: (X, Y) is DSBS, I(X; Y) = 1 H(p), $R_C^* = 0$, 0



• The common information rate

 $R^* = H(X) + H(Y) - \inf\{R_1 + R_2 : (R_1, R_2) \text{ is achievable}\}$

Common information rate is mutual information (Slepian–Wolf 1973)

 $R^* = I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$

- Example: (X, Y) is SBES, $I(X; Y) = 1 p = R_C^*$ (zero-error)
- Example: (X, Y) is DSBS, I(X; Y) = 1 H(p), $R_C^* = 0$, 0
- Also, I(X; Y) = 0 only if X and Y are independent
- Hence, captures dependence better than previous measures



- W_n randomly distributed over $[1:2^{nR}]$ (random *nR*-bit sequence)
- Wish to simulate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y) approximately



- W_n randomly distributed over $[1:2^{nR}]$ (random *nR*-bit sequence)
- Wish to simulate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y) approximately
- Total variation distance between (\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n) and (X^n, Y^n) :

$$d_n = \sum_{(x^n, y^n)} \left| p_{\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n}(x^n, y^n) - \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X, Y}(x_i, y_i) \right|$$



- W_n randomly distributed over $[1:2^{nR}]$ (random *nR*-bit sequence)
- Wish to simulate (X^n, Y^n) i.i.d. ~ p(x, y) approximately
- Total variation distance between (\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n) and (X^n, Y^n) :

$$d_n = \sum_{(x^n, y^n)} \left| p_{\hat{X}^n, \hat{Y}^n}(x^n, y^n) - \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X, Y}(x_i, y_i) \right|$$

- *R* is achievable if \exists a sequence of generators with $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = 0$
- The common information rate: $R^* = \inf\{R : R \text{ is achievable}\}$

Common information rate is Wyner (1975) common information

$$R^* = J(X; Y) = \min_{X \to W \to Y} I(X, Y; W)$$

Common information rate is Wyner (1975) common information

$$R^* = J(X; Y) = \min_{X \to W \to Y} I(X, Y; W)$$

• Example: SBES



Common information rate is Wyner (1975) common information

$$R^* = J(X; Y) = \min_{X \to W \to Y} I(X, Y; W)$$

Example: SBES



Common information rate is Wyner (1975) common information

$$R^* = J(X; Y) = \min_{X \to W \to Y} I(X, Y; W)$$

Example: SBES, J(X; Y) = R^{*}_S (exact common information)



• There are several well-motivated measures of common information

- There are several well-motivated measures of common information
- For distributed compression:
 - Zero-error: $R_{\rm C}^*$ (no computable expression)
 - Lossless: I(X; Y) (mutual information)

- There are several well-motivated measures of common information
- For distributed compression:
 - Zero-error: $R_{\rm C}^*$ (no computable expression)
 - ▶ Lossless: *I*(*X*; *Y*) (mutual information)
- For distributed key generation: K(X; Y) (GKW common information)
 - Same for approximate key agreement

- There are several well-motivated measures of common information
- For distributed compression:
 - Zero-error: R_{C}^{*} (no computable expression)
 - Lossless: I(X; Y) (mutual information)
- For distributed key generation: K(X; Y) (GKW common information)
 - Same for approximate key agreement
- For distributed simulation:
 - Exact simulation: $R_{\rm S}^*$ (no computable expression)
 - Approximate simulation: J(X; Y) (Wyner common information)

 $0 \le K(X; Y) \le R_{C}^{*} \le I(X; Y) \le J(X; Y) \le R_{S}^{*} \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$

 $0 \le K(X; Y) \le R_{C}^{*} \le I(X; Y) \le J(X; Y) \le R_{S}^{*} \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$

- Common information between X and $Y \leq$ information of each
- Strict inequality for SBES:
 - H(X) = 1, H(Y) = (1 p) + H(p)
 - $R_{\rm S}^* = H(p)$ if p > 1/2

 $0 \le K(X; Y) \le R_{\mathcal{C}}^* \le I(X; Y) \le J(X; Y) \le R_{\mathcal{S}}^* \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$

- Common information for approximate distributed simulation \leq for exact
- Equal for SBES (ISIT 2014)
- Open problem: Are they equal in general?

 $0 \le K(X; Y) \le R_C^* \le I(X; Y) \le J(X; Y) \le R_S^* \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$

- Strict inequality for SBES:
 - ▶ I(X;Y) = 1 p
 - J(X; Y) = 1 if $p \le 1/2$

 $0 \le K(X;Y) \le \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{C}}^* \le I(X;Y) \le J(X;Y) \le \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}^* \le \min\{H(X),H(Y)\}$

- Common information for distributed zero-error compression \leq for lossless
- Strict inequality for DSBS:
 - ► $R_{\rm C}^* = 0$
 - I(X; Y) = 1 H(p)

 $0 \le K(X; Y) \le R_{C}^{*} \le I(X; Y) \le J(X; Y) \le R_{S}^{*} \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$

- Common part needs to be sent only once in distributed compression
- Strict inequality for SBEC:
 - $\blacktriangleright \quad K(X;Y) = 0$
 - ▶ $R_{\rm C}^* = 1 p$

Conclusion

- Entropy is a universal measure of information
 - For both zero-error and lossless compression
 - For randomness generation and source simulation

Conclusion

- Entropy is a universal measure of information
 - For both zero-error and lossless compression
 - For randomness generation and source simulation
- The story for common information is much richer:
 - Five well-motivated common information measures

$$K \le R_{\rm C}^* \le I \le J \le R_{\rm S}^*$$

- They are not always equal!
- Some don't seem to be computable in general
- Different for zero-error distributed compression from lossless
- Are they also different for exact distributed simulation from approximate?

Acknowledgments

- Work on exact distributed simulation is joint with:
 - Gowtham Kumar
 - Cheuk Ting Li
- Young-Han Kim provided many valuable suggestions
Thank You!

References

- Arıkan, E. (2009). Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 55(7), 3051–3073.
- Berrou, C. and Glavieux, A. (1996). Near optimum error correcting coding and decoding: Turbo-codes. *IEEE Trans. Comm.*, 44(10), 1261–1271.
- Cover, T. M. (1972). Broadcast channels. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 18(1), 2-14.
- El Gamal, A. and Kim, Y.-H. (2011). *Network Information Theory*. Cambridge, Cambridge.
- Elias, P. (1972). The efficient construction of an unbiased random sequence. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 43(3), 865–870.
- Gács, P. and Körner, J. (1973). Common information is far less than mutual information. *Probl. Control Inf. Theory*, 2(2), 149–162.

Gallager, R. G. (1963). Low-Density Parity-Check Codes. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Knuth, D. E. and Yao, A. C. (1976). The complexity of random number generation. In Algorithms and Complexity (Proc. Symp., Carnegie Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, Pa., 1976), pp. 357–428. Academic Press, New York.

References (cont.)

- Körner, J. and Orlitsky, A. (1998). Zero-error information theory. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 44(6), 2207–2229.
- Orlitsky, A. and Roche, J. R. (2001). Coding for computing. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 47(3), 903–917.
- Richardson, T. and Urbanke, R. (2008). *Modern Coding Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, 27(3), 379–423, 27(4), 623–656.
- Shannon, C. E. (1961). Two-way communication channels. In Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab., vol. I, pp. 611–644. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Slepian, D. and Wolf, J. K. (1973). Noiseless coding of correlated information sources. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 19(4), 471–480.
- Ungerboeck, G. (1982). Channel coding with multilevel/phase signals. IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 28(1), 55–67.
- Viterbi, A. J. (1967). Error bounds for convolutional codes and an asymptotically optimum decoding algorithm. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 13(2), 260–269.

References (cont.)

- Witsenhausen, H. S. (1975). On sequences of pairs of dependent random variables. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 28(1), 100–113.
- Wyner, A. D. (1975). The common information of two dependent random variables. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 21(2), 163–179.
- Ziv, J. and Lempel, A. (1977). A universal algorithm for sequential data compression. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 23(3), 337–343.
- Ziv, J. and Lempel, A. (1978). Compression of individual sequences via variable-rate coding. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 24(5), 530–536.