

# **USC**Viterbi School of Engineering

Ming Hsieh Department of Electrical Engineering

## **INVERSION:** a robust method for co-registration of T1 and diffusion weighted MRI images

Chitresh Bhushan, Justin P. Haldar, Anand A. Joshi, David W. Shattuck, Richard M. Leahy

<b>Motivation &amp; Introduction</b>	<b>Cost function behavior</b>
Multi-contrast images registration is useful to fuse information from different modalities. Normalized Mutual Information (NMI) <sup>1</sup> & Correlation Ratio	<ul> <li>Studied change in different cost functions as images were misaligned (translation along the x-axis) and smoothened using Gaussian kernel.</li> </ul>
(CR) <sup>2</sup> have been commonly used for Inter-modal registration. CR & NMI are known to be non-convex and non-smooth, which can cause registration algorithms to converge to sub- optimal solutions <sup>3</sup> .	<ul> <li>NMI and CR showed good behavior for small translations but both had relatively flat &amp; noisy regions of the cost function at large translations, which can make optimization difficult.</li> <li>INVERSION showed the smoothest cost function and was</li> </ul>

#### **INVERSION**

- INVERSION Inverse contrast Normalization for VERy Simple registrat**ION**)
- Use prior information: Contrast in a T1w brain image is approximately the inverse of the contrast in a T2w image.
- Intensity order: white matter > gray matter > CSF in a T1 image, while CSF > gray matter > white matter in a T2W-EPI image.
- The transformation map between T1w image  $I_{T1}$  and T2W-EPI image  $I_{T2}$  is given by  $F(I_{T2}, I_{T1}) = f_{I_{T1}, I_{T2}}(1 - I_{T2})$ , where  $f_{I_{T_1},I_{T_2}}$  is the histogram matching function.
- Enables the use of simpler sum of squared differences (SSD) cost function for inter-modal image registration.



•

•



(Left) Intensity transformation map of a brain image. (Right) Slices from (i) the T1-weighted image, (ii) the inverted T2W-EPI image, and (iii) the original T2W-EPI image.

convex over the translation range at all levels of the smoothing.



Behavior of different cost functions as a function of misalignment and smoothing.

#### **Distortion correction**

- Diffusion images are frequently distorted due to use of EPI sequence in inhomogeneous magnetic field.
- Use T1w anatomical image as template in non-rigid registration using INVERSION.





### **Comparison with other methods**

- Applied 200 known rigid transformations to the aligned MPRAGE image and assessed the RMS error<sup>3</sup> of the registration achieved with each methods.
- All methods show good performance but INVERSION shows the least error across all transforms.

Comparison of estimated transformation

🗕 FLIRT RMS error in estimated transform (mm) ---- EPI-Reg -INVERSION 25 30 15 10 20 RMS size of applied transform (mm)

(Left) Example of distortion in diffusion images. (Right) Qualitative comparison of distortion correction using INVERSION and NMI.



computed from fieldmap.

Grant Supports	
NIH R01 EB009048	
NIH P41 EB015922	
NIH R01 NS074980	
NSF CCF-1350563	

#### References

- 1. Studholme et al., Pattern Reco 1999; 71-86.
- 2. Roche et al., MICCAI 1998; 1115-1124.
- Jenkinson & Smith, Medical Image Analysis. 2001; 143-156
- 4. Jezzard & Balaban, Magn Reson Med 1995; 34: 65-73.
- 5. RView (http://rview.colin-studholme.net)

Ming Hsieh Institute

http://www-scf.usc.edu/~cbhushan/

**Chitresh Bhushan** 

Ming Hsieh Department of Electrical Engineering